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What do you talk about when you talk about change? How do you share your ideas for securing a better community for all? How has Hard Edges Scotland prompted conversation about systems change across Scotland


Hard Edges Scotland was born from a collaboration between Lankelly Chase and The Roberston Trust to bring together a range of quantitative and qualitative a range of quantitative and qualitative understand Scotland through the lens of five key indicators of disadvantage of five key indicators of disadvantage offending: mental health and domestic vione It sought to promate the nees fincluding evidence from lived necessity an the frontin to if experien and bring to life the need for systematic change change.
Unlike too many collations of evidence, the publication of the Hard Edges Scotland publication of the Hard Edges Scotland
report in June 2019 was not intended to
be the end of the journey. Instead, it was the impetus for new conversations about the issues identified to uncover new ideas and solutions. Micro grants funded an arra and solutions. Micro grants funded an array of chats and changes inspired by the true
stories the report told. An event was held stories the report told. An event was held met to talk about how system shere peop could and should be possible Excitemen built that the conversation was getting louder and conversation was getting louder and was being heard. A newspaper her to what hd to join lives for the better.

But like so many, our conversation space was locked down when COVID hit. Time
seemed too short to talk of systems change - action was needed. And yet, as we hear of new challenges and we talk we hear of new challenges and we tal
of the new normal, we still believe that of the new normal, we still believe that
the Hard Edges Report and the stories it tells still needs to be shared. This paper tells still needs to be shared. This pape is a chance for you to hear where our published. It is a way for us to reconnect with and reignite the conversation the needs to continue if systems change is ever going to happen It is an attompt to vergo with others why and how we think CONVERSATION why and how we think CONVESAON can make systemaric impact. Tak is not and not always easy - but without it, things will never change.


## What's so different about hard edges anyway? <br> - Hard Edges Scotland provides an evidenced call for a systemic approach to change in Scotland



"Hard Edges Scotland makes a renewed case for taking a whole system aproach case for taking a whole system approach to severe and multiple disadvantage, and coordination required all levels. ndividulnation required at all levels. dith its imp but ing daly whits impact, but individually hey , compelling arg tar forin ilving people facing severe andmuliple disadvantage, and their support workers, in work to change systems. It is they who bear daily witness to the dysfunctions that arise
from even the most well-intended policy It is they who have learnt to navigate and is they who have learnt to navigate and whe and it is they whorns ain oremost from the whits."

## e believe this call is even louder in

 COVID tim in eve loud the Hard Edges statistics and tories are eren more relevant since lockdown In a Society further traumatised by the mpact of coronavirus and all its ongoing epercussions, perhaps the need to engage, discuss and even protest about hese issues is more pressing than eveCutting across all of these findings was the fundamental inability of local and national service systems to address he needs of people who present with range of complex and interacting eeds, especially if accompanied by the challenging forms of behaviour that are ten manifest in people coping with th ng-term ffects of $s$ including ACEs"

Action does not always speak louder than words - but we also know that words mean nothing without action

## "I JUST NEED SOMEBODY TOTELLME THATIM DOING THINGSRIGHT:'

How did we keep talking about Hard Edges?

- Conversation only happens when people listen to and engage in it

That sometimes proved difficult for our conversation partners to believe, so natural was it for them to work within tight funder guidance and meet demanding reporting requirements. It demonstrated to us how conditioned we are as a sector to having to account for and evidence output from our use of time and funds.

Many still chose to share with us what they heard when they talked. The diversity of events was inspiring. But we heard
common stories of how much people had learned from being part of the conversation, how attitudes and actions were being changed already as a result and how valued people felt by being ncluded in the process. We also heard a loud, consistent and real challenge would this conversation continue or would the past?

## Where the

 conversations took place in Scotland


SO WHAT HAPPENS NEXT?


## Listen in to one of the conversations...



## - A collective formed with a determination to improve outcomes for women affected by substance abuse.


#### Abstract

As a new grouping, its members admitted it would not normally be the "sort of place that gets grants" - without the micro-grant, this conversation would not have been possible.

What did they talk about? Through word of mouth and trusted relationships, nearly 80 women met to consider a range of topics touched on within the Hard Edges report. Prompted and enabled by a number of creative arts and crafts approaches, they spoke about many things including identity, family and sex work as they drew, wrote and chatted with each other. In a safe, trusted and organic space, they shared stories and experiences of trauma, grief and abuse.

What enabled the conversation to flow? The women who participated rarely get an opportunity to tell their stories. The conversations were not directed and the purpose of the session was not to illicit information or inform research. Those facilitating the conversations have admitted they almost felt guilty about not being obliged to report what they heard to anyone - but they loved the lack of bureaucracy involved. The time and space enabled focus on one sole aim - to allow people to sit back, talk, listen, create and reflect with each other about their unique and shared experiences.

\section*{What did people hear?}

We have been told that those attending were reminded that people do not suffer "indicators of multiple deprivation" in a silo-ed or unique way. By being given a safe space to talk and connect with others, women spoke of how they felt empowered by doing so. They reminded each other that "women need to stick together" and that "a day like this, all us women together, shows how powerful and strong we are $x x^{\prime \prime}$. One woman simply wrote "Loved Today $x x$ ".


## 03 <br> Why have a big conversation event?

- Conversation needs to be shared if it is going to be heard by others

To turn up the volume about the need for systems change, in February 2020 we invited people who had been involved in micro grant conversations to a larger conversation with funders, government officials and sectoral organisations to share their ideas, cross pollinate them and grow together. We believe that sustainable action doesn't happen in isolation - it is generated, refined and improved in a constant cycle through conversation and integration. real and effective difference to what we think, what we say and what we do. We wanted to give people time to talk; to listen; to hear; to understand; to question


## Making the conversation work - How we modelled this conversation was as important to us as having the conversation

We wanted to ensure that everyone felt enabled to take part - because we need to hear their voices and have our ideas, biases and plans challenged. To facilitate this we had four main principles when planning the event:

We won't have got everything right, but here's how we tried to make this happen.


## Accessible

Even in the pre-COVID times we were in, It was important to provide space for the conversations to take place on an accessible basis for all. We were fortunate to have use of The Robertson Trust to have use of The Robertson Trust physical access. For those with hearing and visual challenges, we used microphones throughout and used icon-rich and text throughout and used icon-rich and text light images.

For those with anxiety issues or concerns, we ensured that the flow of the day did not require anyone to participate at every stage nor was anyone expected to go into groups on their own (or at all) if they did not wish to.

Space was provided for people to sit out if they wished. No-one was required to speak at any stage - it was an individual's choic to participate as and how they chose.

## Equal

A conversation works best if all those taking part understand that everyone's opinion, experience and insight is of value. We had folk attending from Scottish Government; with a homeless personal background from frontine services and from funders. Everyone was required to make their own name badge when they came in with their own name only (no lanyards allowed!) and no speaking privileges were granted to those who may have been considered to
be in a position of "power". Throughout the day, we ensured that groups were mixed and not isolated.

People who had hosted micro conversations could chose to tell others about what they had heard. To help them do so, we provided printing and display ssistance to those groups that wanted display any output they had if they asked display any output they had if they asked rovoking oxhibition and coffee space

We used a story circle format for much of the day. The equality of speaking in ircles meant that no voice was privileged over others.




## Respectful

We were struck by how engaged all attendees were in the event and how interested they were in everyone's contribution. We believe this was partly achieved by providing the display space a the event where people could wander and look at what conversations had happened elsewhere without any need for people to stand at stalls and present/ speak to them This allowed conversation and exchanges to flow more equally and freely

In the middle part of the day, we had a story circle where people gathered to listen to hear what others had heard in the event so far. Those sitting in the circle came from so what happens next?

## a wide diversity of different backgrounds

 and no voice "ranked" higher than others. It meant that those who wanted to share their thoughts were given the opportunity to be able to do so in a central and vital way. Everyone's voice was respected.
## Hopeful

As we wish to be part of a conversation which engenders real systems change, we wanted to ensure that space was given for a conversation about what we were daring to hope for rather than the details of how fe would get there. All too often, visioning a future where change has happened is avoided optimistic, not practical, not very realistic,
too difficult. However, by taking a creative approach, we allowed time for people to talk about the future they were hoping for They had a conversations about what a They had a conversations about what a and what they wanted to tell others about it The groups created adverts for their No Edges Scotland which resulted in a buzz of lemined optimi in the talled lisened, heard quetioned and nder

So how do we take this conversation forward? How do we start taking the steps towards a No Edges Scotland? That was the loud and clear challenge that was left for all of us to address.

## 05

## So what did we talk about and what did we hear? - Questions are as important as the answers we get

Some might say that the third sector suffers more than others for having to prove that actions taken, resources invested and time committed produced measurable, reportable and effective results. After all, it's only human to ask - so what?


What have we got to show for this investment of money, creation of space and provision of time for conversation across Scotland? Clearly life has not yet changed for those living at the hard edges all this chat?

Too often we feel obliged to provide answers but what we are learning is that systemic change needs as many question effectual. It is often in the questions rat than the answers we might hear the challenges, understand the lived insights and test the proposed solutions.
Instead of trying to give answers, then, we Instead of trying to give answers, then, we
wanted you to hear the central questions that emerged from listening in to these conversations across Scotland about it Hard Edges. We'd like you to ask yourself
what your answer to these questions are. We'd like you to ask others what their response might be.
It can be scary to admit that we don't know the answers to these questions. It can kn risky to accept that by opening up to these issues, we are admitting that others may know better than us. It can be unsettling to return to conversations which are continually challenging. But our experience us that by talking, listening, hearing, understanding and questioning we a better placed to take action that can - and will - change lives.

Why is it so often the case that those in power" control the conversation in power control the conversation Edges understand the issues better than anyone?

If actions speak louder than words, is conversation only a way of avoiding actually doing something? Can sharing experiences from different walks of life help others
believe that systematic change is possible?

What can we do to help folk share their insights when they don't believ anyone will listen?

Who isn't engaged in the conversation - and why are they not listening? Is there

Is it unrealistic to believe in a better future - and what does that future look like for everyone?

## 06 <br> So is it all talk and no action? <br> - Good conversation needs to be engaging - but it also needs to be challenging if change is going to happen

We heard that people want the Hard Edges conversation to continue. We know that for system change to happen, there needs to be a blend of quality conversation and informed action. But since our February conversation, we have been reminded far too effectively that events often over-take the best of intentions.

The desire "to do" - to help, to work and to make things happen often means that the conversation goes unsaid and unheard. Like many, we have found it hard to establish spaces and places where we allow ourselves and others time to talk, listen, hear, understand, question and share in an accessible, equal, respectful and hopeful manner.

The ongoing pandemic has undoubtedly provided a challenge in creating physical spaces for conversation to happen. To what extent, however, has it provided an however, has it provided an excuse? Voices must not not go untold; spaces for conversations must continue to be created - in whatever form and in whatever medium events allow us. We intend events allow us. We int to keep the Hard Edges conversation going - and we
would like you to be part of it.

As part of Challenge Poverty Week 2020, The Robertson Trust were delighted to host a virtual conversation amongst a group of people who all approach Hard Edges Scotland from different angles and with different experiences but with equal passion. We asked them to share with each other if and how they felt that taiking might help enable and inform real and effective systems change. That conversation was listened into by dozens of people across Scotland who wanted to hear what others had to say and then share with each other what they had heard. It demonstrated that even in this socially distant age, conversation can keep us connected - and challenged.

It reinforced to us that others across Scotland see the need so what happens next?

to keep the conversation going. It confirmed our belief that conversation is in itself a necessary and positive action You can read more about it on The Robertson Trust website said here.


## Stay involved

- Read the report here
- Read about the event here
- See video from the event here
- Share this doc \#letstalkaboutHES
- Join the mailing list here
- And of course we invite you to start your own conversations

Lankelly Chase

\#letstalkaboutHES

